SHAW AND CROMPTON DISTRICT EXECUTIVE 05/12/2017 at 6.00 pm



Present: Councillor Williamson (Chair)

Councillors Gloster, Murphy, Sykes and Turner

Also in Attendance:

Jill Beaumont Director Children's Social Care and Early Help

Elizabeth Fryman District Co-ordinator
Sian Walter-Browne Constitutional Services

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

There were no apologies for absence received.

2 URGENT BUSINESS

There were no items of urgent business received.

3 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest received.

4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

The following questions were received from Parish Councillor Louie Hamblett and replied to:-

Question 1

On Sunday 19th November evening two trams had their windows broken by acts of vandalism, from people throwing ballast from the embankment between Failsworth and Hollinwood. I myself was a passenger on one of the two trams. The following evening, 29th November, a tweet was sent out by Metrolink to state that more acts of vandalism were carried out on the East Didsbury Line, but did not state where, A later tweet then went out to state it had affected the whole Rochdale Line also, however when quizzed by tweeter as to what can be done Metrolink stated it was out of their hands and for GMP to be assisting on.

Could the DE please urge Metrolink to perhaps invest in track side fencing or higher fencing, as many passengers feel that the impending transportation costs do not justify the safety levels and loss of service should a tram be damaged.

Reply

A couple of points in relation to this. Firstly, the tweeter probably wasn't quite right in that it is not entirely the responsibility of GMP. Perhaps on that occasion they were waiting for GMP to arrive at the scene.

In reality we are working quite closely together on the problem – TfGM, GMP and the Metrolink Operator. Following the incidents

this last weekend and earlier this week (in fact it happened 3 days in a row) the Operator have increased staff presence on the Oldham and Rochdale Line as have GMP and they did at least 3 nights (to my knowledge) of plain clothes patrols.



Stone throwing always "spikes" at this time of year following bonfire night with darker evenings. It is all too easy for youths to target trams under cover of darkness and very disappointing (and expensive) for us.

We try not to stop services when this happens, except to remove the damaged tram from service, but when it happens to 2 trams in a row we stop the next services from running through until we can be sure that the area is clear of vandals. We will always call the police but quite often it is the Operator's staff who respond. This can take time. Unfortunately we disrupt our passengers' journeys while we do this but most understand it is for their safety. We had a very serious incident earlier this year where a driver was badly hurt after a rock was dropped from a bridge and entered the cab, which really shows that our safety concerns are justified.

Following that incident, we erected some temporary high level fencing on some structures – but we found that this didn't deter the most determined vandals. The most effective method is providing a visible presence of GMP or security personnel.

The focus of our efforts now is to deploy our limited resource sensibly – targeting the most prolific areas at those times and days that give the worst problems. We have had some success but in reality these incidents continue to affect our service, so we must continue.

In some locations we are actively considering high level fencing on a permanent basis. We will be doing so at Freehold where residents have complained of persistent problems with stones being thrown at properties. This will happen in the new year but we have encountered significant problems along the way as the foundations and structure required for this type of fencing are quite challenging, especially where space is tight or the tram lines are on embankments or in cuttings. Fencing also does not satisfy many of our neighbours and in fact we find that most people object to this as it makes the area less attractive in their view.

Our preference is therefore not to install additional infrastructure but to deal with the problems through intelligent deployment of staff, security and police resources. We use CCTV in doing this do, and in trying to prosecute individuals involved.

Lastly, we work with schools and other community groups to work with youngsters and try to influence their behaviour.

Question 2

A rather large amount of money appears to have been spent on the internal improvements and decorations to both Oak Gables and Village Medical practice waiting rooms, reception area and corridors, does this mean that the likelihood of a new health centre within our area has been pushed back?



Additionally does the DE agree with me that no amount of paint and internal improvements to both practices will cover the desperate need for a new health centre and that this matter requires pressing more than ever?

Reply

GP practices are businesses and choose where and what to refurbish on their premises. The matter of a new health centre is entirely separate and this has not been approved to go forward. For a new health centre in Shaw, land and capital investment would need to be identified. Councillors have been trying to make progress, however the health professions were not supportive.

A further matter was raised with regards to the Village Medical Practice lack of PPG meetings.

It was RESOLVED that:-

- The District Executive would write to Healthwatch in relation to the Village Medical Practice lack of PPG meetings.
- 2. The District Executive would write to Practice Managers to invite them to meet with Councillors to discuss the provision of new health centre, requesting them to provide a written response.

5 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 10th October 2017 be approved as a correct record.

6 **PETITIONS**

NOTED that no new petitions had been received.

7 POLICE 101 NON-EMERGENCY NUMBER

Superintendent Danny Inglis attended the District Executive to update members on the current situation with regards to the 101 non-emergency number.

He informed them that the service received 5000 calls each day and that, whilst the longest wait for a response had been 3 hours, this month the average wait time was 32 seconds. The time varied as it depended on demand and the resilience of the service. Phone calls covered a wide range of issues from very minor to serious crime. Approximately 10% were not related to police business, roughly 500 calls per day.

Members were informed that staff were being recruited and trained, and that a mentoring programme had been introduced. There were ongoing issues around retention as many of those recruited wanted a career in the police force and a constant turnover of staff as they moved on. It was difficult to get the level of staff availability right, as demand was not predictable. Webchat had been tried, however it was not found to be successful.



Members noted that 999 calls took priority and were usually answered in 10 seconds. Analysis had shown that those that called 101 did not usually redial 999.

Members asked for and received clarification that the IT system recorded hang-up, failures to transfer and whether 999 was dialled afterwards. The police had been surprised when the analysis showed most people did not redial 999.

Members were given additional information as to local staffing and were informed that teams and shifts would be restructured with effect from March 2018. Oldham would have four Inspectors to deliver neighbourhood policing. The service was looking at how officers could be placed back in the community and needed to find venues that were sufficiently secure. PCSO's were easiest to relocate as they had limited equipment to take with them.

Members asked about the frequent changes in officers and were informed that staff may still move on, however the aim was to have a dedicated Inspector for the area that people could contact and get to know.

The District Executive thanked Superintendent Inglis for attending the meeting and providing detailed information.

8 SHAW AND CROMPTON COMMUNITY FORUM MINUTES FOR NOTING

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting the Shaw and Crompton Community Forum held on 10th October 2017 be approved as a correct record.

9 SHAW AND CROMPTON BUDGET REPORT AND APPENDIX A

Consideration was given to a report of the District Co-Ordinator, which provided Members with a summary of the budget, including the ward budget and the individual Councillor budgets.

The District Executive was requested to:-



1. Note the following Councillor budget allocations-:

Big Lamp roundabout Event Lights display	£6,712.00
Bin Stickers	£330.00
Osbourne St off street parking feasibility study (this is an indicative amount only)	£2,000
Improvement Scheme at Milne Street	£2,568
Pennine Vale Improvement Scheme	£146

The District Executive was requested to approve or note the following allocations-:

- 2. Junction Improvements at Fir Lane/Thornham Lane
- 2.1 That the District Executive approve spend of £4,105 towards the junction improvement scheme at Fir Lane and Thornham Lane from their Environmental Improvement allocation (ward capital budget) 2016/17.
- 2.2 That the District Executive approve for the remaining balance of £1,895 required to complete this scheme, be allocated from the 2017/18 Crompton ward revenue budget.
- 3. Fir Lane Traffic Calming Scheme
 That the District Executive approve an allocation of £2,555
 from the 2017/18 ward capital budget and that £5,439.50
 be allocated from the ward revenue budget 2017/18.
- 4. Milne St Improvement Scheme
- 4.1 That the District Executive note the use of £5,097 from the 2016/17 Shaw ward Individual Councillors budget towards an improvement scheme at Milne St, Shaw.
- 4.2 The District Executive approves the allocation of £2,983 from the 2017/18 from the Shaw ward capital budget towards the cost of an improvement scheme at Milne St, Shaw.
- 4.3 That the District Executive approve an allocation of £2,568 from the 2017/18 Shaw ward Individual Councillor budgets towards an improvement scheme at Milne St, Shaw.

- 5. Pennine Vale
- 5.1 The reallocation of £165 from the 2016/17 Youth work budget to be reallocated to the Pennine Vale Improvement scheme.



- 5.2 That £146 from the 2017/18 Individual ward Councillors budget be allocated towards the Pennine Vale Improvement scheme.
- 5.3 That £2,334.50 from the 2017/18 ward revenue budget be allocated to the Pennine Vale Improvement scheme.
- 6. George St Improvement Scheme
 That the District Executive approve an allocation of £4,500 from the Shaw ward revenue budget towards the George St Improvement Scheme.
- 7. Street Skip Project
 That the District Executive approve an allocation of £500 for a Shaw ward Street Skip project from the Shaw ward revenue budget.

RESOLVED:-

- 1. That the District Executive noted the Councillor budget allocations outlined in this report.
- 2. Junction Improvements at Fir Lane/ Thornham Lane
- 2.1 That the District Executive approved spend of £4,105 towards the junction improvement scheme at Fir Lane and Thornham Lane from their Environmental Improvement allocation (ward capital budget) 2016/17.
- 2.2 That the District Executive approved that the remaining balance of £1,895 required to complete this scheme, be allocated from the 2017/18 Crompton ward revenue budget.
- 3. Fir Lane Traffic Calming Scheme
 That the District Executive approved an allocation of £2,555 from the 2017/18 ward capital budget and that £5,439.50 be allocated from the ward revenue budget 2017/18.
- 4. Milne St Improvement Scheme
- 4.1 That the District Executive noted the use of £5,097 from the 2016/17 Shaw ward Individual Councillors budget towards an improvement scheme at Milne St, Shaw.
- 4.2 The District Executive approved the allocation of £2,983 from the 2017/18 from the Shaw ward capital budget towards the cost of an improvement scheme at Milne St, Shaw.

4.3 That the District Executive approved an allocation of £2,568 from the 2017/18 Shaw ward Individual Councillor budgets towards an improvement scheme at Milne St, Shaw.



- 5. Pennine Vale
- 5.1 The reallocation of £165 from the 2016/17 Youth Work budget be reallocated to the Pennine Vale Improvement scheme.
- 5.2 That £146 from the 2017/18 Individual Ward Councillors budget be allocated towards the Pennine Vale Improvement scheme.
- 5.3 That £2,334.50 from the 2017/18 ward revenue budget be allocated to the Pennine Vale Improvement scheme.
- 6. George St Improvement Scheme
 That the District Executive approved an allocation of
 £4,500 from the Shaw ward revenue budget towards the
 George St Improvement Scheme.
- 7. Street Skip Project
 That the District Executive approved an allocation of £500 for a Shaw ward Street Skip project from the Shaw ward revenue budget.

10 **DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

RESOLVED the next meeting of the Shaw and Crompton District Executive will be on Tuesday 23rd January 2018 at 6.00pm.

The meeting started at 6.00 pm, was adjourned at 6.02pm, recovened at 6.50pm and ended at 7.45 pm.